BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation)	
Against:)	
)	
)	
Lokesh Shantanu Tantuwaya, M.D.)	Case No. 800-2016-021906
•)	
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. G 79268)	
)	
Respondent)	
_	j	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 10, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED: April 11, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair

Panel B

			_	
1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	,		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN	•		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 292587		·	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800			
_	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266			
6 7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9453 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		·	
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9			•	
10	BEFOR	RE THE		
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
12	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-021906		
14	LOKESH SHANTANU TANTUWAYA,	OAH No. 2018110802		
15	M.D. P.O. Box 236105			
16	Encinitas, CA 92023-6105	STIPULATED SETTLEME DISCIPLINARY ORDER	NT AND	
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268,			
18	Respondent.			
19				
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties	to the above-	
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters ar	e true:		
22	<u>PAR'</u>	<u> TIES</u>		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant)	is the Executive Director of the l	Medical Board	
24	of California (Board). She brought this action so	ely in her official capacity and is	s represented in	
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of	of the State of California, by Mic	chael J. Yun,	
26	Deputy Attorney General.			
27	2. Respondent Lokesh Shantanu Tantuv	vaya, M.D. (respondent) is repres	senting himself	
28	in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise	his right to be represented by co	unsel.	

3. On or about June 22, 1994, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to Lokesh Shantanu Tantuwaya, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2016-021906, and will expire on August 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2016-021906 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on September 24, 2018, at his official Address of Record with the Board, and on November 15, 2018, at his alternate mailing address. Respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2016-021906 is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-021906, and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order on his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-021906; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every one of the rights set forth and/or referenced above.

28 | ///

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2016-021906.
- 10. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2016-021906 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time that the Board considers and acts upon it.
- and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communication from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and

shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto.

Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 15. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may be used, including copies of the signatures of the parties, in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 issued to respondent Lokesh Shantanu Tantuwaya, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years, consecutive and additional to his existing three (3) years probation in his other case with the Board, Case No. 800-2014-007852, ¹ for a total of five (5) years probation,² on the following terms and conditions.

¹ The terms and conditions of respondent's existing three (3) years probation in the Board's Case No. 800-2014-007852, remain in full effect and must be complied with by respondent, along with the additional terms and conditions imposed in the current case.

² The Decision and Order in respondent's other administrative case with the Board, Case No. 800-2014-007852, went into effect on August 31, 2018, and the time credit that respondent has already earned while in compliance with his probation in Case No. 800-2014-007852, will be counted toward his fulfillment of the new five (5) years probation. However, any time tolled during probation due to non-practice by respondent will not be counted toward his fulfillment of the new five (5) years probation.

1. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

2. <u>SOLO PRACTICE PROHIBITION</u> Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. Prohibited solo practice includes, but is not limited to, a practice where: 1) Respondent merely shares office space with another physician but is not affiliated for purposes of providing patient care, or 2) respondent is the sole physician practitioner at that location.

If respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

If, during the course of the probation, respondent's practice setting changes and respondent is no longer practicing in a setting in compliance with this Decision, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee within five (5) calendar days of the practice setting change. If respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the practice setting change, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

3. NOTIFICATION Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 4. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED</u>

 PRACTICE NURSES During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 6. **QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1:6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS

Address Changes

7.

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 8. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 9. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than

30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for a respondent residing outside of California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; and Quarterly Declarations.

- 10. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 11. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect,

13. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject

to the terms and conditions of probation. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the

application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

21 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | /

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:

February 6, 2019

'LS Tantiuvaya

LOKESH SHANTANU TANTUWAYA, M.D. Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: 2/12/2019

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

MICHAEL J. YUN

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SD2018701263 71720594.docx

Exhibit 1

Accusation No. 800-2016-021906

1	Xavier Becerra		
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ	FILED	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN	STATE OF CALIFORNA MEDICAL SCALED OF CALIFORNA	
	Deputy Attorney General	MEDICAL SCAND OF CALIFORNIA SARTAMAN CONTROL SUPPLIES DE 2012 SA JUN JUNE ANALYST	
4	State Bar No. 292587 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9453		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	·	•	
10		RE THE	
11	DEPARTMENT OF C	O OF CALIFORNIA CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
12	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-021906	
14	LOKESH SHANTANU TANTUWAYA,	ACCUSATION	
15	M.D. P.O. Box 236105		
16	Encinitas, CA 92023-6105		
17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268,		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	Complainant alleges:	•	
21	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant)	brings this Accusation solely in her official	
23			
24			
25			
26	The state of the s		
27	and and the second an		
	28 expire on August 31, 2019, unless renewed.		
		1	

ACCUSATION (Case No. 800-2016-021906)

7

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following 3. laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.

"(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a

separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

"

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

66 75

- 6. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."
 - 7. Section 802.1 of the Code state, in pertinent part:
 - "(a)(1) A physician and surgeon [...] shall report either of the following to the entity that issued his or her license:

٠٠.

- "(B) The conviction of the licensee, including any verdict of guilty, or plea of guilty or no contest, of any felony or misdemeanor.
- "(2) The report required by this subdivision shall be made in writing within 30 days of the date of the brining of the indictment or information or of the conviction.
- "(b) Failure to make a report required by this section shall be a public offense punishable by a fine not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000)."

(Gross Negligence)

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

8. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of one patient, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

Patient A¹

- A. On or about November 18, 2010, Patient A was referred to respondent by State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF), Patient A's compensation carrier, for treatment of his work-related lumbar spine injury.
- B. On or about November 18, 2010, respondent became Patient A's designated primary treating physician.
- C. During his care and treatment of Patient A, respondent prescribed and managed Patient A's analgesics, including Norco² and Tramadol³.
- D. During the course of his treatment, Patient A repeatedly had difficulties in getting his Tramadol filled due to respondent's failure to submit the requisite Division of Workers Compensation (DWC)'s Request for Authorization (RFA) form.

¹ The sole patient referenced in this document is designated as "Patient A" in order to protect his privacy interest.

² Norco is a brand name for acetaminophen and hydrocodone bitartrate, a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

³ Tramadol Hydrochloride (Ultram®, Ultracet®), an opioid analgesic, is a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated, it is used for the treatment of moderate to severe pain. The FDA-approved labeling under the Drug Abuse and Dependence section provides warns, among other things, that "[t]ramadol hydrochloride may induce psychic and physical dependence ... Dependence and abuse, including drug-seeking behavior and taking illicit actions to obtain the drug are not limited to those patients with prior history of opioid dependence. The risk in patients with substance abuse has been observed to be higher. Tramadol hydrochloride is associated with craving and tolerance development. Withdrawal symptoms may occur if tramadol hydrochloride is discontinued abruptly." According to the DEA, "[t]ramadol is most commonly abused by narcotic addicts, chronic pain patients, and health professionals."

- E. On or about March 24, 2016, Patient A saw respondent, at which time he prescribed for him Tramadol ER 200 mg and advised a need for epidural injections for pain following multiple lumbar surgeries. Between March 24, 2016, and April 26, 2016, Patient A contacted respondent's office two to three (2-3) times a week to request an update on the status of his medications and procedure. On or about April 26, 2016, respondent's office informed Patient A that respondent had not yet completed the required paperwork that SCIF requires in order to fill the prescription medication and to process the request for epidural injections.
- F. On or about May 16, 2016, the Medical Board of California (Board) submitted a signed authorization for release of medical records from Patient A and requested a copy of the complete set of Patient A's medical records from respondent.
- G. On or about June 30, 2016, B.Z., the Office Manager for respondent signed under penalty of perjury a Certification of Records that the enclosed records consisting of 81 pages of Patient A's medical records were a true copy of "the complete set of records." There was no progress note for Patient A's treatment, provided on March 24, 2016, in the provided set of records. There was no RFA form, dated March 24, 2016, in the provided set of records.
- H. On or about March 2, 2017, eight (8) months after the certification of records on June 30, 2016, B.Z., the Office Manager for respondent signed another Certification of Records, whereby she wrote, "For 2016; all other years already obtained," and attached three (3) pages of records of Patient A, consisting of a two-page progress report, dated March 24, 2016, and an RFA form, dated March 24, 2016.
- I. On or about April 12, 2018, Investigator D.F. (Inv. D.F.) of Health Quality Investigations Unit (HQIU) served a Subpoena Duces Tecum along with Patient A's signed authorization for release of his medical records to SCIF for Patient A's medical records, including, but not limited to, all progress notes and RFA forms submitted by respondent to SCIF during his care and treatment of Patient A.

J. On or about May 18, 2018, HQIU Inv. D.F. received the subpoenaed
records from SCIF. The received subpoenaed medical records containing 6,084 page
of records from SCIF pertaining to respondent's care and treatment of Patient A did
not contain a progress note, dated March 24, 2016, and did not contain an RFA form,
dated March 24, 2016. In contrast, respondent's progress notes and RFA forms
pertaining to Patient A from other treatment dates were included in the SCIF records.

K. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A when respondent augmented Patient A's medical records by creating and post-dating the progress note and the RFA form for March 24, 2016, long after the patient encounter.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 9. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he was repeatedly negligent in his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 8, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein, and as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- 10. Respondent failed to complete and submit the RFA form to SCIF in a timely manner, resulting in a delay in Patient A's receiving analysis.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonesty)

11. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (e), in that he committed an act or acts of dishonesty which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 8, above, and which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

27 | 1///

28 | ///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)

12. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266, of the Code, in that he failed to maintain adequate and accurate records regarding his care and treatment of Patient A, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 8, above, and which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Conviction to the Board within 30 Days)

- 13. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by 802.1, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(2), and 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has failed to report his two (2) misdemeanor convictions to the Board within 30 days of his conviction, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- 14. On or about February 27, 2018, in a criminal proceeding entitled, *The People of the State of California v. Lokesh Tantuwaya*, Docket No. CN372748, in Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 166, subdivision (c), subsection (1) [Violation of Protective Order].
- 15. 30 days later, on or about March 27, 2018, respondent was taken into custody and was incarcerated at San Diego County Jail for fifteen days until his release on or about April 10, 2018.
 - 16. Respondent reported his February 27, 2018 conviction to the Board on May 2, 2018.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

17. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, of the Code, in that he engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an ///

ACCUSATION (Case No. 800-2016-021906)

unfitness to practice medicine as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 through 16, above,

SD2018701263 71575064.doc

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 79268, issued to respondent Lokesh Shantanu Tantuwaya, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent Lokesh Shantanu

 Tantuwaya, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the

 Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering respondent Lokesh Shantanu Tantuwaya, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring, if placed on probation; and
 - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: September 24, 2018

KIMBERLY MIRCHMEYER

Executive Difector

Medical Board of California

State of California

Complainant